# **Seminole County Public Schools**

# WINTER SPRINGS HIGH SCHOOL



2024-25 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| SIP Authority                              | 1  |
|--------------------------------------------|----|
| I. School Information                      | 3  |
| A. School Mission and Vision               | 3  |
| B. School Leadership Team                  | 3  |
| C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring  | 7  |
| D. Demographic Data                        | 8  |
| E. Early Warning Systems                   | 9  |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review           | 11 |
| A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison | 12 |
| B. ESSA School-Level Data Review           | 13 |
| C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review               | 14 |
| D. Accountability Components by Subgroup   | 17 |
| E. Grade Level Data Review                 | 20 |
| III. Planning for Improvement              | 21 |
| IV. Positive Culture and Environment       | 35 |
| V. Title I Requirements (optional)         | 39 |
| VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review      | 41 |
| VII Budget to Support Areas of Focus       | 42 |

# **School Board Approval**

A "Record School Board Approval Date" tracking event has not been added this plan. Add this tracking event with the board approval date in the notes field to update this section.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

# ADDITIONAL TARGET SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

# **TARGETED SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (TSI)**

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

# COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (CSI)

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 1 of 43

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parents), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://cims2.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for:

- 1. Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and
- 2. Charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP SECTIONS                                                       | TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM                                      | CHARTER<br>SCHOOLS   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| I.A: School Mission/Vision                                         |                                                                 | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1) |
| I.B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)                                                    |                      |
| I.E: Early Warning System                                          | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| II.A-E: Data Review                                                |                                                                 | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| III.A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)                                                 | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4) |
| III.B, IV: Area(s) of Focus                                        | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                      |
| V: Title I Requirements                                            | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                      |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. The printed version in CIMS represents the SIP as of the "Printed" date listed in the footer.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 2 of 43

# I. School Information

# A. School Mission and Vision

# Provide the school's mission statement

Our community's mission is to create productive global citizens who cultivate intercultural understanding, respect for humankind, and a commitment to lifelong learning.

### Provide the school's vision statement

Bears Building Integrity through Empathy, Honesty, and Perseverance.

# B. School Leadership Team

# **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, enter the employee name, and identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as they relate to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.

# **Leadership Team Member #1**

# **Employee's Name**

Gaffney, Peter

# **Position Title**

Principal

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Oversees all aspects of the school.

# **Leadership Team Member #2**

# **Employee's Name**

Bevan, Kenneth

# **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

# **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Social Studies, Fine Arts/Visual Arts, World Languages, Coordinator of Students Activities, AP Coordinator, Truck/Treat Community Event, Latinos in Action, Cluster Liaison, Bear Bash 2024, 8th Grade Field Trip (ITMS, S.Seminole, Milwee), HunnyBears Dance Team

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 3 of 43

# **Leadership Team Member #3**

# **Employee's Name**

Carpenter, KeJuana

# **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Math, ESE, Young Men and Women Of Excellence, Gospel Choir, Study Skills, Principal Designee, Discipline, School Improvement Plan, Advanced Opportunities, PBiS, MTSS, Title IX Coordinator

# **Leadership Team Member #4**

# **Employee's Name**

Herro, Mariette

# **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

CTE, PE, Student Services, JROTC, Testing Coordinator Supervision, Master Scheduler, College and Career Acceleration, S.A.C, Operation Graduation

# **Leadership Team Member #5**

# **Employee's Name**

Sutton, Arika

# **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

# **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

ELA, Reading, ESOL, Science, IB Administrator, Professional Development, Graduation

# Leadership Team Member #6

# **Employee's Name**

Hesselbart, Mathew

# **Position Title**

Administrative Support

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 4 of 43

Director of Facilities, Supervision Assignments, School Safety Guards, School Safety / Fire Drills / Code Red, Rental Contracts, Alumni Coordinator

# **Leadership Team Member #7**

# **Employee's Name**

Collins, Angel

### **Position Title**

Administrative Support

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

9th and 12th Grade Discipline, MTSS Behavior / Tardy Support, Student Activities, Athletics Academic Support Liaison, Honor Roll, Juvenile Justice Notification, Senior Class Support, Graduation Support, Awards Night

# **Leadership Team Member #8**

# **Employee's Name**

Clark, Octavius

# **Position Title**

Dean

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

10th and 11th Grade Discipline, Transportation, Expulsion Packets, Liaison to Journeys, Detention / InSchool Suspension, Lockers, Bullying Contact, Student Code of Conduct

# **Leadership Team Member #9**

# **Employee's Name**

Gomrad, Scott

### **Position Title**

Other

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Athletics budget and fundraising, coach coordination, Wellness Coordinator

# **Leadership Team Member #10**

# **Employee's Name**

Dunaye, Jennifer

### **Position Title**

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 5 of 43

Literacy Instructional Coach

# **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Promote literacy achievement across all grade levels

# **Leadership Team Member #11**

# **Employee's Name**

Brosch, Kathy

# **Position Title**

Other

# **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Curriculum Technology Resource and Support

# **Leadership Team Member #12**

# **Employee's Name**

Brevoort, Sarah

# **Position Title**

Other

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Coordination of state and local assessments.

# **Leadership Team Member #13**

# **Employee's Name**

McBryde, Marsha

# **Position Title**

**School Counselor** 

# Job Duties and Responsibilities

Coordination of counselors and the Student Services Department.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 6 of 43

# C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring

# Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders [including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders] and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESEA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

WSHS, through the 5 Essentials Survey, gains input from the community on how to improve the school continually. The plan will be shared with stakeholders through the school website, the Bear Necessities newsletter (mailing), and the School Advisory Council as well as the P.T.S.A.

# SIP Monitoring

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the state academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan with stakeholder feedback, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESEA 1114(b)(3))

- The literacy teams and administrators will meet regularly (monthly and after Progress Monitoring Assessments) to review data from Progress Monitoring assessments.
- The team will review relevant student achievement and recommend instructional strategies to address specific skill deficits.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 7 of 43

# D. Demographic Data

| ACTIVE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SENIOR HIGH<br>9-12                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| K-12 GENERAL EDUCATION                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| NO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 53.0%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 51.1%                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| NO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| NO                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| ATSI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (SWD)*  ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL)  ASIAN STUDENTS (ASN) BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS (BLK) HISPANIC STUDENTS (HSP) MULTIRACIAL STUDENTS (MUL) WHITE STUDENTS (WHT) ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS (FRL) |
| 2023-24: A<br>2022-23: B*<br>2021-22: B<br>2020-21:                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 8 of 43

# **E. Early Warning Systems**

# 1. Grades K-8

This section intentionally left blank because it addresses grades not taught at this school or the school opted not to include data for these grades.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 9 of 43

# 2. Grades 9-12 (optional)

# **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using 2023-24 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| INDICATOR                                     | GI | RADE | E LE\ | /EL | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|------|-------|-----|-------|
| INDICATOR                                     | 9  | 10   | 11    | 12  | TOTAL |
| Absent 10% or more school days                |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| One or more suspensions                       |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA) |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Course failure in Math                        |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment           |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide Algebra assessment       |    |      |       |     | 0     |

# **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| INDICATOR                            | GI | RADE | E LEV | <br>TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|-------|-----------|
| INDICATOR                            | 9  | 10   | 11    | TOTAL     |
| Students with two or more indicators |    |      |       | 0         |

# **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students retained:

| INDICATOR                           | GI | RADE | E LEV | /EL | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|-------|-----|-------|
| INDICATOR                           | 9  | 10   | 11    | 12  | IOIAL |
| Retained students: current year     |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Students retained two or more times |    |      |       |     | 0     |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 10 of 43



Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 11 of 43

# A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison

school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high

Data for 2023-24 had not been fully loaded to CIMS at time of printing.

|                                |        | 2024     |       |        | 2023      |       |        | 2022**   |       |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENT       | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT† | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE |
| ELA Achievement *              | 60     | 62       | 55    | 56     | 55        | 50    | 52     | 57       | 51    |
| ELA Grade 3 Achievement **     |        |          |       |        |           |       |        |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains             | 66     | 63       | 57    |        |           |       | 49     |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains Lowest 25%  | 64     | 61       | 55    |        |           |       | 41     |          |       |
| Math Achievement *             | 42     | 44       | 45    | 38     | 39        | 38    | 50     | 40       | 38    |
| Math Learning Gains            | 56     | 50       | 47    |        |           |       | 58     |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains Lowest 25% | 66     | 54       | 49    |        |           |       | 56     |          |       |
| Science Achievement *          | 69     | 72       | 68    | 63     | 69        | 64    | 62     | 48       | 40    |
| Social Studies Achievement *   | 75     | 74       | 71    | 65     | 70        | 66    | 68     | 51       | 48    |
| Graduation Rate                | 93     | 92       | 90    | 96     | 94        | 89    | 97     | 70       | 61    |
| Middle School Acceleration     |        |          |       |        |           |       |        | 48       | 44    |
| College and Career Readiness   | 56     | 61       | 67    | 58     | 60        | 65    | 61     | 71       | 67    |
| ELP Progress                   | 61     | 64       | 49    | 43     | 59        | 45    | 69     |          |       |
|                                |        |          |       |        |           |       |        |          |       |

Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation. \*In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 12 of 43

<sup>\*\*</sup>Grade 3 ELA Achievement was added beginning with the 2023 calculation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> District and State data presented here are for schools of the same type: elementary, middle, high school, or combination.

# B. ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2023-24 ESSA FPPI                            |      |
|----------------------------------------------|------|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)             | ATSI |
| OVERALL FPPI – All Students                  | 64%  |
| OVERALL FPPI Below 41% - All Students        | No   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 1    |
| Total Points Earned for the FPPI             | 708  |
| Total Components for the FPPI                | 11   |
| Percent Tested                               | 99%  |
| Graduation Rate                              | 93%  |

|         |         | ESSA C  | VERALL FPPI I | HISTORY  |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|
| 2023-24 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2020-21       | 2019-20* | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
| 64%     | 61%     | 60%     | 58%           |          | 58%     | 55%     |

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Florida Department of Education Emergency Order No. 2020-EO-1 (PDF), spring K-12 statewide assessment test administrations for the 2019-20 school year were canceled and accountability measures reliant on such data were not calculated for the 2019-20 school year. In April 2020, the U.S. Department of Education provided all states a waiver to keep the same school identifications for 2019-20 as determined in 2018-19 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 13 of 43

# C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

|                                           | 2023-24 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | SUMMARY                                                           |                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 40%                             | Yes                   | 5                                                                 |                                                                   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 48%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Asian Students                            | 81%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 57%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 57%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 61%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| White Students                            | 71%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 57%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 14 of 43

|                                           | 2022-23 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | A SUMMARY                                                         |                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 37%                             | Yes                   | 4                                                                 |                                                                   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 43%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Asian Students                            | 85%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 48%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 53%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 64%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| White Students                            | 70%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 50%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 15 of 43

|                                           | 2021-22 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | SUMMARY                                                           |                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 34%                             | Yes                   | 3                                                                 |                                                                   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 42%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Native American<br>Students               |                                 |                       |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Asian Students                            | 81%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 50%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 54%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 60%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Pacific Islander<br>Students              |                                 |                       |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| White Students                            | 65%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 54%                             | No                    |                                                                   |                                                                   |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 16 of 43

# D. Accountability Components by Subgroup

|              | Ecc<br>Dis<br>Stu                         | White<br>Stude    | Mu.<br>Stu              | His<br>Stu           | Bla<br>Am<br>Stu                      | Asian<br>Stude    | Enç<br>Lar<br>Le <i>z</i>       | Stu<br>Dis                    | A            |                         |                                                | <b>D.</b> In Each the so                                                                                                                                            |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              | Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With<br>Disabilities | All Students |                         |                                                | <b>D. Accountability Components by Subgroup</b> Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for the school. (pre-populated) |
|              | 46%                                       | 67%               | 55%                     | 50%                  | 49%                                   | 79%               | 27%                             | 22%                           | 60%          | ELA<br>ACH.             |                                                | tabilit indicates sopulated                                                                                                                                         |
|              |                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                               |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.  |                                                | y Com                                                                                                                                                               |
|              | 59%                                       | 68%               | 61%                     | 60%                  | 65%                                   | 77%               | 46%                             | 58%                           | 66%          | ELA                     |                                                | <b>pone</b> l<br>bi had les                                                                                                                                         |
|              | 61%                                       | 72%               | 55%                     | 53%                  | 75%                                   |                   | 46%                             | 61%                           | 64%          | ELA<br>LG<br>L25%       | 2023-24                                        | <b>nts b</b> y<br>ss than 1                                                                                                                                         |
|              | 33%                                       | 55%               | 38%                     | 29%                  | 28%                                   | 56%               | 23%                             | 16%                           | 42%          | MATH<br>ACH.            | ACCOUNT,                                       | / Sub                                                                                                                                                               |
|              | 52%                                       | 63%               | 63%                     | 49%                  | 48%                                   | 71%               | 49%                             | 37%                           | 56%          | MATH<br>LG              | \ВІГІТА СО                                     | <b>group</b><br>students                                                                                                                                            |
|              | 64%                                       | 67%               |                         | 63%                  | 67%                                   |                   | 68%                             | 53%                           | 66%          | MATH<br>LG<br>L25%      | MPONENTS                                       | with dati                                                                                                                                                           |
|              | 54%                                       | 77%               | 79%                     | 56%                  | 57%                                   | 85%               | 32%                             | 37%                           | 69%          | SCI<br>ACH.             | 2023-24 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | a for a pa                                                                                                                                                          |
|              | 65%                                       | 81%               | 71%                     | 69%                  | 53%                                   | 96%               | 63%                             | 28%                           | 75%          | SS<br>ACH.              | ROUPS                                          | ırticular c                                                                                                                                                         |
|              |                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                               |              | MS<br>ACCEL.            |                                                | a particular component and was not calculated for                                                                                                                   |
|              | 90%                                       | 95%               | 94%                     | 88%                  | 97%                                   | 100%              | 81%                             | 87%                           | 93%          | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2022-23 |                                                | and was                                                                                                                                                             |
|              | 41%                                       | 65%               | 33%                     | 46%                  | 26%                                   | 84%               | 36%                             | 16%                           | 56%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2022-23 |                                                | not calcu                                                                                                                                                           |
|              | 64%                                       |                   |                         | 60%                  |                                       |                   | 61%                             | 27%                           | 61%          | PROGRESS                |                                                | llated for                                                                                                                                                          |
| Printed: 11/ | Printed: 11/04/2024                       |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                               |              |                         |                                                |                                                                                                                                                                     |

| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students |                                                                                                |  |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 43%                                       | 64%               | 56%                     | 46%                  | 39%                                   | 75%               | 32%                             | 21%                        | 56%          | ELA<br>ACH.                                                                                    |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.                                                                         |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | ELA<br>LG                                                                                      |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | 2022-23<br>ELA<br>LG<br>L25%                                                                   |  |
| 28%                                       | 45%               | 51%                     | 29%                  | 28%                                   | 70%               | 21%                             | 17%                        | 38%          | ACCOUNT MATH ACH.                                                                              |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | ABILITY CONTRACTH                                                                              |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | OMPONEN MATH LG L25%                                                                           |  |
| 50%                                       | 73%               | 61%                     | 53%                  | 47%                                   | 89%               | 30%                             | 33%                        | 63%          | 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS  ELA MATH MATH SCI SS LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. ACH. |  |
| 48%                                       | 75%               | 76%                     | 46%                  | 51%                                   | 90%               | 27%                             | 26%                        | 65%          | 3GROUPS<br>SS<br>ACH.                                                                          |  |
|                                           |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | MS<br>ACCEL.                                                                                   |  |
| 94%                                       | 97%               | 95%                     | 94%                  | 90%                                   | 100%              | 80%                             | 94%                        | 96%          | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2021-22                                                                        |  |
| 41%                                       | 66%               | 44%                     | 51%                  | 32%                                   | 88%               | 56%                             | 28%                        | 58%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2021-22                                                                        |  |
| 49%                                       |                   |                         | 54%                  |                                       |                   | 52%                             |                            | 43%          | ELP                                                                                            |  |

Page 18 of 43 Printed: 11/04/2024

|         | Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Pacific<br>Islander<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | Native<br>American<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students |                                                                                                |   |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
|         | cally<br>itaged                           |                   |                                 | <u>a</u>                |                      | ican                                  |                   | 2                              | Ф                               | With                       | nts          |                                                                                                |   |
|         | 38%                                       | 59%               |                                 | 65%                     | 39%                  | 36%                                   | 84%               |                                | 25%                             | 13%                        | 52%          | ELA<br>ACH.                                                                                    |   |
|         |                                           |                   |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.                                                                         |   |
|         | 44%                                       | 50%               |                                 | 50%                     | 44%                  | 46%                                   | 67%               |                                | 41%                             | 29%                        | 49%          | ELA<br>ELA                                                                                     |   |
|         | 41%                                       | 37%               |                                 |                         | 39%                  | 52%                                   |                   |                                | 39%                             | 29%                        | 41%          | 2021-22<br>ELA<br>LG<br>L25%                                                                   |   |
|         | 39%                                       | 57%               |                                 | 59%                     | 41%                  | 39%                                   | 73%               |                                | 18%                             | 25%                        | 50%          | 2021-22 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS  ELA MATH MATH SCI SS  LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. ACI |   |
|         | 53%                                       | 66%               |                                 | 56%                     | 49%                  | 56%                                   | 58%               |                                | 30%                             | 46%                        | 58%          | BILITY COL                                                                                     |   |
|         | 55%                                       | 66%               |                                 |                         | 50%                  | 53%                                   |                   |                                |                                 | 45%                        | 56%          | MPONENTS  MATH  LG  L25%                                                                       |   |
|         | 50%                                       | 66%               |                                 | 71%                     | 55%                  | 50%                                   | 86%               |                                | 42%                             | 21%                        | 62%          | BY SUBGR<br>SCI<br>ACH.                                                                        |   |
|         | 56%                                       | 82%               |                                 | 58%                     | 59%                  | 43%                                   | 84%               |                                | 15%                             | 32%                        | 68%          | SS ACH.                                                                                        |   |
|         |                                           |                   |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            |              | MS<br>ACCEL.                                                                                   |   |
|         | 95%                                       | 98%               |                                 |                         | 97%                  | 91%                                   | 100%              |                                | 97%                             | 81%                        | 97%          | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2020-21                                                                        |   |
|         | 49%                                       | 68%               |                                 |                         | 53%                  | 30%                                   | 92%               |                                | 45%                             | 14%                        | 61%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2020-21                                                                        |   |
|         | 70%                                       |                   |                                 |                         | 73%                  |                                       |                   |                                | 69%                             |                            | 69%          | PROGRESSe 19 of 43                                                                             |   |
| Printed | : 11/04/20                                | 024               |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            |              | Page 19 of 43                                                                                  | 3 |

# E. Grade Level Data Review – State Assessments (prepopulated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested or all tested students scoring the same.

| 2023-24 SPRING |       |            |                     |                           |                   |                   |  |  |  |
|----------------|-------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| SUBJECT        | GRADE | SCHOOL     | DISTRICT            | SCHOOL -<br>DISTRICT      | STATE             | SCHOOL -<br>STATE |  |  |  |
| Ela            | 10    | 63%        | 60%                 | 3%                        | 53%               | 10%               |  |  |  |
| Ela            | 9     | 53%        | 61%                 | -8%                       | 53%               | 0%                |  |  |  |
| Biology        |       | 67%        | 70%                 | -3%                       | 67%               | 0%                |  |  |  |
| Algebra        |       | 17%        | 53%                 | -36%                      | 50%               | -33%              |  |  |  |
| Geometry       |       | 53%        | 55%                 | -2%                       | 52%               | 1%                |  |  |  |
| History        |       | 72%        | 72%                 | 0%                        | 67%               | 5%                |  |  |  |
|                |       |            | 2023-24 WIN         | ITER                      |                   |                   |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT        | GRADE | SCHOOL     | DISTRICT            | SCHOOL -<br>DISTRICT      | STATE             | SCHOOL -<br>STATE |  |  |  |
| Biology        |       | 25%        | 32%                 | -7%                       | 36%               | -11%              |  |  |  |
| Algebra        |       | 10%        | 19%                 | -9%                       | 16%               | -6%               |  |  |  |
| History        |       | 30%        | 34%                 | -4%                       | 42%               | -12%              |  |  |  |
| Geometry       |       | * data sup | pressed due to fewe | er than 10 students or al | I tested students | scoring the same. |  |  |  |
|                |       |            | 2023-24 FA          | <b>LL</b>                 |                   |                   |  |  |  |
| SUBJECT        | GRADE | SCHOOL     | DISTRICT            | SCHOOL -<br>DISTRICT      | STATE             | SCHOOL -<br>STATE |  |  |  |
| Algebra        |       | 18%        | 19%                 | -1%                       | 17%               | 1%                |  |  |  |
| Geometry       |       | 9%         | 33%                 | -24%                      | 16%               | -7%               |  |  |  |
| History        |       | 19%        | 33%                 | -14%                      | 29%               | -10%              |  |  |  |
| Biology        |       | * data sup | pressed due to fewe | er than 10 students or al | I tested students | scoring the same. |  |  |  |

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 20 of 43

# III. Planning for Improvement

# A. Data Analysis/Reflection (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

# **Most Improvement**

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

During the 2023-24 school year, without a doubt our learning gains (63% avg), our US History scores (75%), as well as our geometry scores (53% 13pt gain) were a highlight for WSHS! A focus on quality planning in our PLC's and our teacher's attention to the data and a sense of urgency helped in my opinion. Also, by urging students and giving them an incentive plan also helped huge!

### **Lowest Performance**

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Algebra I went down from 2022-23. Our overall proficiency went from 25% to 18%.

Contributions were: Teacher consistency. We had a teacher go out on medical leave in the middle of the school year!

# **Greatest Decline**

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Algebra I went down from 2022-23. Our overall proficiency went from 25% to 18%.

Contributions were: Teacher consistency. We had a teacher go out on medical leave in the middle of the school year!

# **Greatest Gap**

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Without a doubt the area with the most concern for school grade purposes is our College and Career Acceleration rate. We are behind the state average and need to make some adjustments to thew way we ensure that ALL students get CCA status.

Contributions: Lack of scheduling students in classes to achieve the status is an issue. We will make the adjustments and make it a priority.

# **EWS Areas of Concern**

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 21 of 43

N/A

# **Highest Priorities**

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

SWD Learning Gains in Mathematics

SWD Learning Gains in ELA

Math Proficiency

College and Career Acceleration

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 22 of 43

# **B.** Area(s) of Focus (Instructional Practices)

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

# Area of Focus #1

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# ESSA Subgroups specifically relating to Students With Disabilities (SWD)

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Increase academic achievement of students with disabilities.

# Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

WSHS will increase ELA Learning Gains from 59% to 64% and Math LGs from 38% to 43% for our Students with Disabilities.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

This area of focus will be monitored through classroom walk throughs, review of progress monitoring data and through data chats with professional learning communities.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; KeJuana Carpenter; Arika Sutton; Jennifer Dunaye

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

The following evidence-based interventions are available to high schools to help them support students based upon the area of need of the individual student: CAR-PD, Achieve 3000, Corrective Reading, and Reading Mastery. Math - The following evidence-based interventions are available to middle schools to help them support students based upon the area of need of the individual student: DreamBox, Transition to Algebra, Seminole Numeracy Project.

# Rationale:

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 23 of 43

A variety of interventions are available to the schools to allow them to meet the needs of individual students. This allows all the areas of reading to be addressed from foundations to comprehension across the K-12 continuum. All of the listed interventions have been approved by Just Read, Florida through the vetting process for the K-12 Comprehensive Evidence- Based Reading Plan. Math- All the listed interventions have research-based evidence for efficacy. Standards based lessons differentiated to meet the needs of these specific student groups and data driven deliberate action planning will improve achievement and learning gains for our students. This strategy is aligned to having high expectations for all learners and teachers.

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

Support Facilitators will meet with students.

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney (peter\_gaffney@scps.k12.fl.us); Ongoing(throughout the school year)

KeJuana Carpenter

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Support Facilitators will meet quarterly with students on their caseload to discuss IEP goals. 2. Support Facilitators supporting Math and ELA courses will meet with students and discuss data after C9As and PM assessments. 3. Support Facilitators will track Math and ELA and data quarterly and discuss with students.

# **Action Step #2**

2. Support Facilitators will attend academic PLC.

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; KeJuana Carpenter Ongoing(throughout the school year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Support Facilitators will continue to plan with the content area they support (ELA, Algebra I, or Geometry). 2. Support Facilitators will participate in PLCs and discuss students' (individual and subgroups) performance on assessments.

# Area of Focus #2

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 24 of 43

how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Increase the overall percentage of proficiency in Mathematics.

# **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Students will increase the percentage of proficiency from 41% to 45%.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

This area of focus will be monitored through classroom walk-throughs, review of progress monitoring data, and data chats with professional learning communities.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; KeJuana Carpenter

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Seminole County Public Schools will be ranked at the top in Florida\* in English/Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science based on the current Florida Department of Education A+ Accountability Program data, and state-required assessments. \*Comparison made to the Central Florida districts, the 14 largest districts statewide and the 67 comprehensive school districts, as well as demographically similar school districts.

### Rationale:

System Initiative B: High Standards and Student Achievement Performance Objective: 1 KPI: 2

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# Action Step #1

Continuous PLC Collaboration

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 25 of 43

# **Person Monitoring:**

# By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; KeJuana Carpenter

Ongoing(throughout the school year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Streamline PLCs with a focus on Backward Planning. 2. Continue deep diving into data and standards. 3. Identify students of focus. 4. Plan and analyze data with SF teacher.

# Area of Focus #3

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Close the Achievement Gap between 9 and 10 ELA proficiency.

### Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase proficiency in ELA 9 from 53% to 57%. Increase proficiency in ELA 10 from 63% to 65%.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

This area of focus will be monitored by Progress Monitoring, Common Assessments, and Achieve 3000.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; Arika Sutton; Jennifer Dunaye

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Seminole County Public Schools will monitor student performance on nationally normed, statedeveloped, and locally created assessments to provide students, parents, and community members with nationally comparative information and teachers with data to inform instruction.

# Rationale:

System Initiative B High Standards and Student Achievement Performance Objective #2, KPI #7

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 26 of 43

# Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

Continuous PLC Collaboration

# **Person Monitoring:**

By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; Arika Sutton; Jennifer Dunaye

Ongoing(throughout the year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Collaborative PLC to include 9th and 10th Grade ELA and Reading teachers using student data to drive instructional needs 2. Use of Station rotations within Reading classes including a teacher-led station to address deficits utilizing a small group setting 3. Unitization of the Literacy Instructional Coach to provide data chats with teachers and research of research-based best practices

# Area of Focus #4

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Instructional Practice specifically relating to Career and Technical Education

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Increase enrollment in Teaching Academy POE program and retain students in all CTE programs to complete 2-4 years.

### Measurable Outcome

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Students will complete 2-4 years in CTE/POE (i.e. Teaching Academy- increase enrollment in Level 1 from 15 students to 40-50 students in Level 1 by 25-26.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 27 of 43

The programs will be monitored throughout the year and the AP will provide support and resources to teachers.

We will increase marketing for these programs.

Counselors and AP will track data and monitor student enrollment in programs during registration period.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Dr. Mariette Herro

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Annually increase programs and opportunities that allow students to identify their educational pathway and develop the skills identified in the ePathways Skills for Future Ready Graduates model.

### Rationale:

System Initiative C Innovation for College, Careers, and Citizenship, KPI #1 and #2

# Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

### Action Step #1

PLCs and Department Meetings

# Person Monitoring: Mariette Herro Throughout the year

Mariette Herro Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

We will meet regularly in PLCs and as a department to discuss our plans for certification testing. We will organize and implement a testing schedule.

# Action Step #2

Work with SSC and ePathways Coordinator (Vicky L.)

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Mariette Herro Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Explore what DE options we can offer for our POEs and begin communication with SSC and

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 28 of 43

counselors to have a plan in place for the 25-26 school year.

# **Action Step #3**

Marketing

**Person Monitoring:** 

Throughout the year

Mariette Herro

By When/Frequency:

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Market our programs at our school and at the middle schools. Track the POE enrolments and ensure counselors are monitoring student registration for course progression in programs.

# Area of Focus #5

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Graduation/Acceleration specifically relating to Acceleration

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Ensure that 100% of the Class of 2025 graduates earn at least one of the College and Career Acceleration metrics with an increased focus on our Free & Reduced Lunch students and Students with Disabilities.

# **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

WSHS had a 56% mark for CCA on the 2024 Schoo Grade metric. Our goal is for this to be 100% in the 2025 school year.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

- Share a spreadsheet with the counselors so when they conference with students at their credit checks that they discuss what is needed for the students to be considered college and career ready.
- 2. In December, run another list of those seniors who still are not considered college and career ready to schedule them in a course their final semester.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Principal- Pete Gaffney Student Services AP- Dr. Mariette Herro Counselors

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 29 of 43 measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Annually increase programs and opportunities that allow students to identify their educational pathway and develop the skills identified in the ePathways Skills for Future Ready Graduates model.

### Rationale:

System Initiative C: Innovation for College, Careers and Citizenship KPI: 1

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

Junior/Senior Credit Checks

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Mariette Herro Fall/Spring

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Counselors will ensure at registration and during Junior credit checks that they are scheduling students in courses that will meet the acceleration goal.

# Action Step #2

Registration- For each grade level, starting with 9th graders.

# Person Monitoring: Mariette Herro Throughout the year

Mariette Herro Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Every student will have one or more courses on their schedule each year that gives students an opportunity to meet the acceleration goal.

# Area of Focus #6

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# **Graduation/Acceleration specifically relating to Graduation**

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Ensure that all Class of 2025 students earn their Graduation Assessment Requirement by May of

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 30 of 43

2025. (Class of 2024 graduates that needed a concordant score was 2.6%).

# **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

As of August 2024,

44 students need both ELA and Math

47 students need ELA only

29 students need Math only

Our goal is for 100% of 2025 cohort students to meet their testing requirement.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

We will meet as an admin team regularly to report on these students' progress. We will use a white board in our conference room to track their names and progress.

Each admin will be paired with a counselor and other support staff and will be assigned a small # of students who they will mentor and monitor.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Pete Gaffney, Mariette Herro, Angel Collins

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

SAT/PSAT/ACT prep. Tutorials- subject specific

### Rationale:

System Initiative A: Graduation KPI: 1

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 31 of 43

Provide ALL testing Opportunities

Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency: Mariette Herro/Nicole Grey Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Ensure students are invited and attend all testing opportunities offered to meet their testing requirement.

# **Action Step #2**

Track Data

Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency: Mariette Herro/Nicole Grey Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Track testing results. Communicate results with all stakeholders. Provide data specific support to students to improve in areas of need.

# **Action Step #3**

Conference with Students

Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency: Mariette Herro and other admin Throughout the year

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Conference individually with assigned students to provide support, encouragement and positive reinforcement.

# Area of Focus #7

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Instructional Practice specifically relating to Social Studies

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Increase student proficiency on the U.S. History EOC.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase student proficiency from 74% to 79%.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 32 of 43

Student growth will be monitored by reviewing the following assessments: unit tests, progress monitoring exams, and benchmark exams.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; Kenneth Bevan

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Seminole County Public Schools will be ranked at the top in Florida\* in English/Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science based on the current Florida Department of Education A+ Accountability Program data, and state-required assessments. \*Comparison made to the Central Florida districts, the 14 largest districts statewide, and the 67 comprehensive school districts, as well as demographically similar school districts.

### Rationale:

System Initiative B: High Standards and Student Achievement KPI: 1a

# Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

PLC Collaboration

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; Kenneth Bevan Ongoing(throughout the year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Study deficiency trends in Q1 exams from the 23-24 school year. 2. Social Studies and ELA departments will collaborate to create a weekly reading assignment utilizing historical articles centered on US History standards. 3. Collaborate with Reading teachers to analyze student data and plan for differentiated activities.

# Area of Focus #8

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

# Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 33 of 43

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Increase academic achievement amongst all learners.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase Biology proficiency from 68% to 73%.

# **Monitoring**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Student growth will be monitored by reviewing the following assessments: unit tests, midpoint, and post-tests.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; Arika Sutton

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Seminole County Public Schools will monitor student performance on nationally normed, statedeveloped, and locally created assessments to provide students, parents, and community members with nationally comparative information and teachers with data to inform instruction.

### Rationale:

System Initiative B: High Standards and Student Achievement Performance Objective: 2 KPI: 7

### Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

# **Action Step #1**

PLC Collaboration focusing on benchmark standards.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 34 of 43

# **Person Monitoring:**

# By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; Arika Sutton

Ongoing(throughout the year)

Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Continuous PDs facilitated by the SCPS Science 9-12 Specialist Team. 2. Differentiated Instruction based on student needs.

# IV. Positive Culture and Environment

# Area of Focus #1

Other

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Students succeed when conditions for learning are optimized. A focus on campus safety, development of a culture where student voice and belonging are valued and sharing collective responsibility for the success of all students in the school increase student achievement.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase the percentage of students who identify a trusted adult on campus from 73% to 80% by specifically focusing on the 10% of students who indicated they "definitely do not" have a trusted adult on campus.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

This area of focus will be monitored by 5E Survey results, attendance, and Discipline Data.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney (peter\_gaffney@scps.k12.fl.us)

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

**Description of Intervention #1:** 

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 35 of 43

A positive school culture and climate will assist students in optimizing their learning. Seminole County Public Schools will monitor the aggregate score on the 5Essentials Survey for Supportive Environments in schools.

### Rationale:

Increase by two (2) percent, the aggregate score of all schools on the student-teacher relationships and academic personalism as measured by the 5 Essentials Survey.

# **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

# **Action Step #1**

Increase the relationship between students and a trusted adult.

# **Person Monitoring:**

By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney, Principal; School Counselors including Mental Health Counselors

Ongoing(throughout the school year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Improve trusted adult/student relationships through a Bear Connections Mentoring Program 2. Communication with Teachers, faculty/staff regarding Discipline data and survey results 3. Continue encouraging students to participate in the Ali's Hope program on campus.

# Area of Focus #2

Other

# Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Winter Springs High School had 46 teachers respond and 11 staff respond to the survey. Although our results are favorable, WSHS would like to increase our teacher and staff participation.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

WSHS would like to increase faculty and staff survey participation by at least 5%.

# **Monitoring**

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 36 of 43

This area of focus will be monitored by the increase in positive culture and the campus environment.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney

# **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

Seminole County Public Schools will build and maintain a more diverse and healthier workforce representative of our student population and retain a highly developed and skilled teaching force that is present and engaged with students, increasing our recruitment of minority instructional personnel, developing instructional and administrative leadership capacity, and creating a districtwide culture of health and wellness.

# Rationale:

1a. Annually, improve by 10% the 1-year teacher retention rate when comparing the percentage of teachers who were hired in a school year who are still active at the completion of the successive school year. 1b. Annually improve by 10% the successive 5-year teacher retention rate.

# Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

# **Action Step #1**

WSHS would like to increase our teacher and staff participation.

# Person Monitoring:

By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney Ongoing(throughout the year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Share with Teachers and Staff at the beginning of the year presentation how important it is to respond to surveys to give us accurate and fair data to make decisions for our school to improve in areas of need.

# Area of Focus #3

Student Attendance

# **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Decrease the percent of students with 10+ absences.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 37 of 43

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase student absences by at least 4%(243 students during S1 2023 to 175 students in S1 2024) each semester.

# Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

This area of focus will be monitored by attendance data from EdInsight and the Minga campus monitoring platform.

# Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Peter Gaffney; Kayla Slone

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

# **Description of Intervention #1:**

A positive school culture and climate will assist students in optimizing their learning. Seminole County Public Schools will monitor the aggregate score on the 5Essentials Survey for Supportive Environments in schools.

### Rationale:

Decrease the number of students meeting the truancy threshold of ten (10) unexcused absences in a 90-day period by 20% annually as compared to the previous year.

# Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

# Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

# **Action Steps to Implement:**

# Action Step #1

Increase student attendance.

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Peter Gaffney; Kayla Slone Ongoing(throughout the year)

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

1. Meet weekly with the School Social Worker. 2. Create a flowchart to inform parents and students of what is crucial about attendance and what is necessary for parents to know. 3. Inform the community about the importance of attendance.

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 38 of 43

# V. Title I Requirements (optional)

# A. Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP)

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in ESEA Section 1114(b). This section of the SIP is not required for non-Title I schools.

# **Dissemination Methods**

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership, and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESEA 1114(b)(4))

List the school's webpage where the SIP is made publicly available.

No Answer Entered

# Positive Relationships With Parents, Families and other Community Stakeholders

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage where the school's Parental and Family Engagement Plan (PFEP) is made publicly available. (ESEA 1116(b-g))

No Answer Entered

# Plans to Strengthen the Academic Program

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part II of the SIP. (ESEA Section 1114(b)(7)ii))

No Answer Entered

# **How Plan is Developed**

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESEA Sections 1114(b)(5) and 1116(e)(4))

No Answer Entered

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 39 of 43

# B. Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

# Components of the Schoolwide Program Plan, as applicable

Include descriptions for any additional, applicable strategies that address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards which may include the following:

# Improving Student's Skills Outside the Academic Subject Areas

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

No Answer Entered

# **Preparing for Postsecondary Opportunities and the Workforce**

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

No Answer Entered

# Addressing Problem Behavior and Early Intervening Services

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III)).

No Answer Entered

# **Professional Learning and Other Activities**

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESEA section 11149b)(7)(iii(V)).

No Answer Entered

# Strategies to Assist Preschool Children

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

No Answer Entered

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 40 of 43

# VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review

This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI (ESEA Sections 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C) and 1114(b)(6)).

# **Process to Review the Use of Resources**

Describe the process to review the use of resources to meet the identified needs of students.

No Answer Entered

# **Specifics to Address the Need**

Identify the specific resource(s), rationale (i.e., data) and plan to address the need(s) (i.e., timeline).

No Answer Entered

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 41 of 43

# **VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

Check if this school is eligible for 2024-25 UniSIG funds but has chosen not to apply.

No

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 42 of 43

BUDGET

0.00

Printed: 11/04/2024 Page 43 of 43